

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW MEXICO**

KIETHAN VALENTINE,

Plaintiff,

v.

No. 11-cv-0123 GBW/SMV

**NEW MEXICO CORRECTIONS DEPARTMENT,
et al.,**


Defendants.

**ORDER ON MOTION TO COMPEL REGARDING DOCUMENTS SUBMITTED FOR
IN CAMERA REVIEW**

THIS MATTER is before the Court on Plaintiff's Motion to Compel Documents from Defendant Brown [Doc. 155] ("Motion to Compel") and this Court's Order on Motion to Compel [Doc. 173]. In my previous Order, I ordered Defendant Brown to submit certain documents to me for in camera inspection. See [Doc. 173] at 2. Defendant Brown did so by letter dated September 20, 2012. The documents were Bates-labeled "Valentine-In Camera Review Docs_000001-000023." I have reviewed the documents and find that they are neither relevant to any material issue in the lawsuit, nor likely to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that Plaintiff's Motion to Compel [Doc. 155] be and the same hereby is **DENIED** with respect to the documents submitted to me for in camera review.

IT IS SO ORDERED.



STEPHAN M. VIDMAR
United States Magistrate Judge